

चंडीगढ़ इंटरनेशनल एयरपोर्ट लिमिटेड Chandigarh International Airport Limited

CHIAL/MYTP/2021-26/

Date: 26/07/2021

To,

Chairman,
Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India,
AERA Building
Administrative Complex,
Safdarjung Airport,
New Delhi - 110003

Subject: - Counter Comments on comments raised by stakeholders on Consultation Papers No. 09/2021-22.

Dear Sir,

This office is in receipt of communication from AERA vide email dated 16.07.2021 wherein it was mentioned that following stakeholders has offered their comments on the consultation paper issued by authority and authority has requested to reply to the observations raised by stakeholders within the prescribed time. In this regard find enclosed the counter comments of CHIAL attached at Annexure – I summarized as follows.

Sr. No.	Stakeholders	Counter Comments of CHIAL
1	Airport Authority of India(AAI)	No comments on the observation.
2	Govt. of Punjab (Department of Civil Aviation)	No comments on the observation.
3	Federation of Indian Airlines (FIA)	Refer Annexure - I

In view of the above it is requested to kindly issue the final Multi Year Tariff Order of CHIAL for 2^{nd} Control Period at the earliest.

Thanking You,

Yours Sincerely,

For CHANDIGARH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT LIMITED

Ajay Kumar

Chilef Executive Officer

Encl: As below

Annexure I – Replies on Stakeholders comments (11 Pages)

पंजीकृतकार्यालयः कक्षसंख्या 1, परियोजनाकार्यालयभवन, सिविलएयरपोर्ट, चंडीगढ्- 160003 Registered Office: Room No. 1, Project Office Building, Civil Airport, Chandigarh- 160003 कॉर्पोरेटकार्यालयः नईसिविलएयरटर्मिनल, झूरेरी, मोहाली (पंजाब) 140306

Corporate Office: New Civil Air Terminal, Jhurheri, Mohali (Punjab) 140306

Tel No.: 0172-2242016, Fax No. 0172-2242003, email: chialcommercial@gmail.com, CIN U63013CH2010GOI031999

S. No.	Para	Particulars	Comments/Submission	CHIAL Response
СОММ	 ENTS BY FEI	DERATION OF INDIAN A		
1.	2.4.13 - 2.4.21	True Up of RAB Capital Expenditure/Assets Allocation	While FIA appreciates that AERA has sought justification from CHIAL in cases where the incurred amount on capital expenditure is greater than that approved in 'First Control Period', AERA is requested to undertake an independent studyfor efficient capital expenditure, more particularly, in view of the following remark by AERA: 'In certain cases, the Authority notes that CHIAL needs to makemore realistic estimates in case of projections since the variance between projections and actuals are significant. The Authority expects CHIAL to ensure that this is appropriately considered inthe future control periods. ' Similarly, an independent study for determination for asset allocation should be also undertaken by expert body/agencies. Such independent studies to be conducted by AERA will be in accordance with the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008 (AERA Act), and will ensure that realistic estimates for projections are applied, which may result in lower Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) and tariff. Further, AERA should ensure that no deviations of capex/costs are approved than the rates prescribed under the AERA Normative Order No. 07/2016-17 "In the matter of normative approach to building blocks in economic regulation of major airports — capital costs reg." dated 13.06.2016.	and considering the new infrastructure CHIAL had considered a minimal capital expenditure requirement during first control period. However, the actual expenditure is based on the actual requirement at ground realities and request from airlines. Variation in projects cost is due to the change in technology and change of estimates. The detailed justification has already been submitted to authority. We would like to also clarify that CHIAL has not undertaken any changes in terminal layout since its inception in Sept 2015, therefore the Terminal area ratio is in the line of the terminal ratio approved by the Authority in 1 st MYTP. Hence, the same has been considered by CHIAL in the 2 nd control

2.	2.5	True Up	of	FIA submits that AERA should consider the useful life of	We would like to submit that the Authority's order and
		Depreciation		Building including Terminal Building as sixty (60) years as	Companies Act 2013 provides useful life for Terminal
				envisaged in AERA Order No. 35/2017-18 read with	Buildings as "30 years or 60 years, as evaluated by the
				Schedule II of CompaniesAct 2013, as applicable, and revise	Airport Operator". In this regard, CHIAL's management has
				the amount of depreciation accordingly. It is pertinent to	considered a life of 30 years, which is in line with Companies
				note that useful life of assets at various international	Act 2013 as well as the Authority's Order No. 35/2017-18.
				airports like London Heathrow, Sydney airport and	
				Amsterdam airport indicated that terminal buildings have	Further it is worthwhile to mention that the per sq mtr cost
				useful life of as long as sixty (60) years and aprons have it	at the airport mentioned by FIA may be very high and it is
				for as long as ninety-nine (99) years.	understood that authority has consider and rationalised the
				FIA submits that the useful life of terminal building for	fact of difference of per sq mtr cost while considering the
				Kannur and Cochin airports have been considered sixty	life of the assets. If the capital cost is consider on the lines of
				(60) years by AERA. Thus, AERA should prescribe sixty (60)	the airport mention by FIA, than the per sq mtr cost may be
				years for the building including Terminal Building as is	4times higher. The comparison on the both side may be
				practiced by some of the developed aviation ecosystem.	consider.
3.	2.9.5	True Up Operating Expenditure	of	AERA is requested to exclude costs pertaining to Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) from 'Aeronautical Expenditure'. This will be in line with the similar treatment to CSR	As per TDSAT Order Dated 16 th December, 2020 in Appeal No 8 of 2018 BIAL vs AERA, the Appellate Authority had decided to include the Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)
				expenditure, given to CIAL at Cochin International Airport. Further, AERA may review the Operating Expenses based	as Aeronautical Expenditure. Therefore, we request the Authority to consider the same as Aeronautical expenses.
				on an independent study for allocation of assets and efficient operating expenditure.	Further, CHIAL is also open to the proposal of appointing an independent study for allocation of assets and efficient operating expenditure.
4.	2.10	True up of N	lon –	FIA requests AERA to conduct an independent study on the	No comments on the observation. However, the decision on
		Aeronautical Re	venue	Non-Aeronautical Revenues, in accordance with the	the subject under reference is falling under the purview of
				Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008,	authority. We would like to add that such study may be
				as amended(AERA Act).	done for all major airports, civil enclaves, and private
					airports, for setting up of efficient benchmark for Airport
					industry as a whole for non aeronautical revenue.

5.	5	Traffic	While FIA appreciates that AERA has considered industry inputs/reports on traffic from agencies like IATA and ICAO,	No comments on the observation. However, the decision on the subject under reference is falling under the purview of
			FIA requests AERA to conduct an independent study for trafficassessment, in accordance with the AERA Act.	authority.
6.	4.2	Analysis of RAB & Capital Expenditure	FIA appreciates that considering the reduced traffic owing to COVID-19, AERA has rationalized the capital expenditure and excluded certain proposed additions to RAB. FIA reiterates its request for independent study of asset allocation and efficient capital expenditure, as mentioned at Sr. No. 1 above.	Refer reply at sl. no.1.
7.	4.4	Depreciation	Same as our comment at Sr. No. 2 above.	Refer reply at sl. no.2.
8.	5	Return on Land Cost		AERA vide order no. 42/2018-19 dated 5th March, 2019 states that fair return on land will be provided to Airport operators, who have purchased land. As in case of CHIAL, land has been purchased long back in the year 2015 and the same was contributed as equity by shareholders, hence a nominal rate of return as per AERA order was proposed for consideration. Further, Authority vide above said order has considered to amortize the land value over 30 years for tariff computation. It is worthwhile to mention here that CHIAL is not a land developer and using the land majorly for aeronautical purpose only and any increase in the land valuation has only the notional impact and may not be commercially exploit like other land owners as there is restricted use of land/for specified purpose of airport sector. Therefore, CHIAL has considered return on land cost in line with the AERA Order. If the return on land is not being provided than it would otherwise impact the airlines by increasing the cost of other available infrastructure at airport. Further if the land is purchase in trenches in future that will

9.	6	Fair Rate of Return	FIA submits that fixed/ assured return favours the Airport Operators, and creates an imbalance against the airlines,	cost significantly and may impact the airlines and other stakeholders and may create this venture unviable. And from the investor point of view, the risk free return is available in the market at 6-7% whereas the airport sector is full of risk and Authority has rationalized the return on land by above said order i.e SBI Rate +2% which is reasonable from the equity stakeholder point of view. We understand the concerns raised by FIA with regards to reasonableness of the cost incurred by airport operator. And
			which are already suffering from huge losses and bear the adverse financial impact through higher tariffs. Further, due to such fixed / assured returns, service providers like CHIAL have no incentive to look for the productivity improvement or ways of increasing efficiencies or take steps to drastically reduce costs as they are fully covered for all the costs plus their returns. Such a scenario may result in inefficiencies and higher costs, which are ultimately borne by the airlines. In the present scenario any assured return on investment (i.e., return on investment after the income tax), in excess of three (3) %, i.e., being at par with bank fixed deposits, will be onerous for the airlines. In view of the above, AERA is requested to immediately review FROR by capping the returns to a maximum of three (3)%.	as mentioned in our earlier responses we are open to any independent review for accessing the reasonableness of the capital expenditure and operating expenses. Further, CHIAL would like to submit that it would be difficult to attract any future investment in form of Debt or Equity if the FROR is capped at 3%. Therefore we request the Authority to consider their initial proposal for capping cost of equity at 14%. It is for the kind information that CHIAL has initiated various measures for the creation of infrastructure (i.e facilities like PBB, Inline X-ray Baggage, night parking facilities for scheduled airlines) for airlines with no additional cost

10.	7	Operating Expenses	Same as our comment at Sr. No. 3 above.	Please refer reply at sl. no.3.
11.	8	Non-Aeronautical Revenue	FIA submits AERA to conduct an independent study on the Non-Aeronautical Revenues, in accordance with AERA Act. Without prejudice to the above, FIA submits that: 1. Increase in non-aeronautical revenue is a function of passenger traffic growth, inflationary increase and real increase/escalations in contract rates. AERA to ensure no adjustments 2. 'Royalty' is in the nature of market access fee, charged by the services providers under various headings. These charges are passed on to the airlines by the service providers. The rates of royalty at some of the airports are as high as forty six (46)%. It may be pertinent to note that market access fee by any name or description is not practiced in most of the global economies, including European Union, Australia etc. In view of	The royalty/revenue share is charged from the independent service providers of groundling by CHIAL which is presently 3% in case of scheduled airlines and 15% in case of non – scheduled airlines and 0% in case of RCS.
12.	1.2.2	Methodology for	the above, we urge AERA to abolish such royalty which may be included in any of the cost items – aeronautical and non –aeronautical. FIA submits that as per para 1.2.2 of the Consultation	CHIAL has submitted the MYTP model for SCP as per
		Tariff Determination Hybrid Till Vs. Single Till	Paper, it is stated that the AERA shall determine tariffs for IXC using the Hybrid Till model. It is to be noted that FIA from time to time has advocated the application of a Single Till model across the airports in India. FIA submits that AERA should adopt Single Till basis the following legal framework: In the Single Till Order, AERA has strongly made a case in	authority order no 23/2016-17, which is in line with the National Civil Aviation Policy 2016, as issued by the Ministry of Civil Aviation in 2016.

favor of the determination of tariff on the basis of 'Single Till'. It is noteworthy that the AERA has, inter alia, in its Single Till Order:
(i) Comprehensively evaluated the economic model and realities of the airport – both capital and revenue elements.
(ii) Taken into account the legislative intent behind Section 13(1)(a)(v) of the AERA Act.
(iii) Concluded that the Single Till is the most appropriate for the economic regulation of major airports in India.
(iv) The criteria for determining tariff after taking into account standards followed by several international airports (United Kingdom, Australia, Ireland and South Africa) and prescribed by ICAO.
AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the Single Till approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services.
The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall
and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and that can be assured only by way of lower aeronautical charges. It is a productive

			chain reaction which needs to be taken into account by the AERA.	
13.	13.	Aeronautical Tariff	(i) Overall Tariff/ARR AERA is requested to review the suggestions/comments on the regulatory building blocks as mentioned under Annex – A, which is likely to reduce the ARR (including shortfall) of CHIAL. This will further ensure the lowering of tariffs including UDF, which will be beneficial to passengers and airlines.	Overall Tariff/ARR CHIAL give consensus with the proposal of authority i.r.o. UDF Charges for the second control period as per the consultation paper no 09/2021-22. There is marginal hike proposed by CHIAL in the UDF charges from 2 nd year of the second control period. Further, CHIAL would only be able to recover the shortfall which was eligible for the 1st control period, as shortfall for 1st Control period itself is about Rs. 350 Crores and with this UDF in second control period, CHIAL would get about only Rs. 450 Crores as Aero revenue in 2nd Control period.
			 (ii) Parking Charges (a) In the present Consultation Paper, it is observed that 'Parking Charges' are bifurcated for 'First Two hours afterfree parking period' and 'Beyond Four Hours', which was not prescribed in the earlier AERA order No. 17/2016-17 dated 27 March, 2017, as extended, for the First Control Period for IXC (FCP Order). 	Parking Charges 'Parking Charges' are bifurcated for 'First Two hours afterfree parking period' and 'Beyond Four Hours', was decided to stream line the movement of aircraft and to discourage the delay in the aircraft movement. CHIAL has separately defined the night parking charges according to the different category of users which is again submitted at annexure – 'A'.
			(a) <u>BRS/CUTE Charges</u> Whether CHIAL will raise the invoice for BRS/Cute charges instead of SITA in the Second Control Period?AERA/CHIAL to kindly clarify.	BRS/CUTE Charges CHIAL will raise the invoice to the service provider (presently SITA) in respect of revenue sharing of services provided to the airlines.

		(b) Charges for Domestic cargo handling (Ref. Para IV.5 on Page 102 of the Consultation Paper) In respect of airlines undertaking cargo self-handling in accordance with applicable law/regulations, no charges should be billed to such airlines. (For e.g., as IndiGo is undertaking services of loading/unloading of cargo through its wholly owned subsidiary 'Agile Airport Services Private Limited' at IXC, no charges should be billed to IndiGo).	for cargo operations/ ground handling for the airlines who has opted for self handling. Further, airlines also to ensure the basic amenities/infrastructure for the staff deployed for
--	--	---	--

	COMMENTS BY AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIAN					
Comments/Submission		CHIAL Response				
Sr. No 1 Regarding airlines space	rentals and land lease	No comments on the observation				
rental as aeronautical.	Tentais and land lease	to comments on the observation.				
Sr. No. 2. – Regarding Cost of Equity		No comments on the observation.				
	COMMENTS BY Govt of Punjab, Department of Civil Aviation					
Item	Comments/Submission		CHIAL Response			

Point no. 2.7.3 of page no. 34 of CP	GMADA has invested in land of CHIAL way back in 2015 and deferment of	No comments on the observation.
	return on land till 2 nd control period not considers even the inflationary	
	impact over a period of time on cost of land is discouraging to the	
	shareholders.	
Point no. 5.2.6 of page no. 63 of or	Authority has proposed to take the return on land only on the land	No comments on the observation.
СР	actually used for aeronautical purpose. It is requested to give	
	compounding impact of cost of inflation index (CII) on cost of land from	
	2015 which was originally purchased in 2008 for construction of	
	international terminal, may be considered. The return on cost of land for	
	second control period may be provided on the compounded value of cost	
	of land taking into consideration the cost of inflation index for the first	
	control period.	
Point no. 5.2.8 of page no. 63 of CP	AERA vide order no. 42/2018-19 dated 5 th march, 2019 states that SBI rate	No comments on the observation.
	plus 2% will be return on land for the Airport operator who has purchase	
	the land. The act is silent incase of debt free companies. It is to mention	
	that the authority has consider the return on land for CHIAL is just 6.21%	
	on the basis of cost of debt of AAI and consider return on only 201 acres	
	land against the CHIAL submission of 270 acres. Whereas cost of debt of	f
	other airports likes Hyderabad (8.82%), Bangalore (7.85%), Kochi (7.80%)	
	etc has been considered. It is worthwhile to mention that Airports	
	Authority of India is a statutory body working under Ministry of Civi	
	Aviation, Government of India whereas CHIAL is a company which is	
	registered under Companies Act and have different credentials and no	
	guaranteed by Govt. of India.	
	Hence, it is requested to reconsider SBI Base rate + 2% as applicable as per	
	AERA vide order no. $42/2018-19$ dated 5^{th} march, 2019.	
	HERA VIUE OTUET 110. 42/2018-19 GALEG 5 MATCH, 2019.	

ANNXURE - A

I) NIGHT PARKING CHARGES 2200 HOURS TO 0600 HOURS

Night parking charges proposed for second control period from 01/04/2021 to 31/03/2026 according to different category of users: -

Category 1.								
For	SCHE	DUI	E AI	RLINES	with	prior		
perm	permission of Night parking facility from							
CHIAL for the number of aircrafts approved								
for Ni	ight pa	rkir	ıg					

No night parking charges will be charged from schedule airlines for the night parking hours as mentioned. Airlines have to obtain prior approval/permission of CHIAL for night parking of aircraft between 2200 hours to 0600 hours as per CHIAL night parking policy.

Category 2.

- a. Non Schedule Airlines having night parking permission of CHIAL as per CHIAL night parking policy
- b. Schedule Airline who is not having permission of CHIAL for night parking
- c. Schedule Airlines parking the aircraft beyond permissible number of aircraft approved by CHIAL

For the above said category 2 (a,b,c) following are the rates:-

WEIGHT OF THE AIRCRAFT	RATE IN (INR)
Upto 40MT	1.80 per hour per MT
Above 40MT Upto 100MT	72.00 + 3.40 per hour per MT in excess of 40MT
Above 100MT	276.00 + 5.20 per hour per MT in excess of 100MT
Category 3. The aircrafts not covered in category 1 & 2 above	Normal Parking charges as mentioned at point no. I) will be applicable for the period of stay.

Notes:-

a) Night Parking Hours (2200 Hours to 0600 Hours Next Day)

- b) After landing of any aircraft, two hours of parking will be free for all the aircrafts. While calculating free parking period, standard time of 15 Minutes shall be added on account of time taken between touch down time and actual parking time on the parking stand. Another standard time of 15 minutes shall be added on account of Taxing Time of Aircraft from parking stand to takeoff point. These periods shall be applicable for each aircraft irrespective of actual time taken in the movement of aircraft after landing and before takeoff.
- c) For calculating chargeable night parking time, part of an hour shall be rounded off to the nearest hour.
- d) Charges shall be calculated on the basis of nearest MT.
- e) Charges for each period parking shall be rounded off to nearest Rupee.
- f) At the in contact stands and open stands, after free parking of two hours night parking charges shall be levied.
- g) In case of aircraft following under the category 1 i.e scheduled airlines having night parking permission, parking charges as applicable will be paid by airlines for the period of occupancy of parking bay between 0601 hours to 2159 hours.
- h) In case of aircraft following under the category 2, applicable night parking charges will be paid by the respective airlines and in case of parking beyond the permissible night parking hours, parking charges as mentioned at point no. I) will be applicable from 0601 hours upto period of stay.