File No. AERA/20010/MYTP/AAI/Amritsar/CP-I/2019-20 Addendum to Consultation Paper No. 06/2020-21



Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India
In the matter of determination of aeronautical tariffs in respect of
Sri Guru Ram Dass Jee International Airport (ATQ), Amritsar for the
First Control Period (01.04.2019 to 31.03.2024)

10th November 2020

AERA Building
Administrative Complex
Safdarjung Airport
New Delhi – 110003

STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS

The Authority issued Consultation Paper No. 06/2020-21 for the Amritsar Airport on June 1, 2020, post which a Stakeholder Consultation Meeting was convened by the Authority on June 30, 2020 to invite the views of the Stakeholders on the Consultation Paper in which the proposals have been put forward based on Authority's analysis and observations on the original Multi Year Tariff Proposal (MYTP) submitted by the Airport Operator. Further, AAI presented the revised proposal considering the impact of ongoing COVID19 pandemic on traffic projections and capex plan during the Stakeholders' Consultation Meeting. During the meeting some of the Stakeholders wish to understand the Authority's views on the revised proposal and requested the Authority to come up with the revised Consultation Paper or an Addendum to the Consultation Paper in order to gauge the impact on the aeronautical tariffs. The Authority has released this Addendum to the Consultation Paper, after examining the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the various assumptions stipulated in the revised Multi Year Tariff Proposal ('MYTP') submitted by the Airport Operator. Accordingly, the Authority's opinion on the various aspects forming part of the tariff determination process has been explained in detail in this Addendum to the Consultation Paper.

Thus, in accordance with the provisions of Section 13(4) of the AERA Act, the written comments on Addendum to the Consultation Paper No. 06/2020-21 dated 10/11/2020 are invited from the Stakeholders, preferably in electronic form at the following address;

Director (P&S, Tariff)

Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India (AERA),

AERA Administrative Complex,

Safdarjung Airports, New Delhi – 110002, India

Email: gita.sahu@aera.gov.in and copy to director-ps@aera.gov.in

Last Date for submission of comments: 24/11/2020

Last Date for submission of counter comments: 01/12/2020

Comments and counter comments will be posted on AERA's website www.aera.gov.in

For any clarification/information, Director (P&S, Tariff) may be contacted at Tel. No. +91-11-24695048

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	6
2. Methodology for Tariff Determination	7
4. Traffic Forecast	8
5. Allocation of Assets between Aeronautical and Non-Aeronautical	10
7. Capital Expenditure for the 1st Control Period	14
8. Depreciation	20
9. Regulatory Asset Base (RAB) for the 1st Control Period	21
11. Non-aeronautical revenue	22
12. Operation and Maintenance expenditure (O&M Expenses)	25
13. Aeronautical Revenue	28
14. Taxation	30
15. Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for the 1st Control Period	32
16 Annual Tariff Proposal	33

List of Tables

Table 1: Revised Traffic forecast as proposed by the AAI	8
Table 2: Revised Traffic forecast as proposed by the Authority	9
Table 3: Revised Allocation Ratios proposed by AAI	10
Table 4: Revised Allocation Ratios proposed by the Authority	11
Table 5: Allocation of assets proposed by the Authority (Gross Block as on 1st April 2017)	
Table 6: Initial RAB on 1 st April 2019 as proposed by Authority (figures in INR crores)	12
Table 7: Revised Capitalisation as proposed by AAI for 1st Control period (INR crore)	15
Table 8: Capital Expenditure as proposed by the Authority for 1st Control Period (INR crore)	17
Table 9: Revised Aeronautical Capitalization as proposed by the Authority (INR crores)	19
Table 10: Revised Depreciation proposed by the Authority (figures in INR crores)	20
Table 11: Revised RAB for the 1st Control Period after Authority's examination (figures in INR crores	s).21
Table 12: Revised Non-aeronautical revenue projections as per AAI (figures in INR crores)	23
Table 13: Non-aeronautical revenues proposed by the Authority (INR crores)	23
Table 14: Revised O&M expenses as proposed by AAI (INR crores)	25
Table 15: Growth rates in O&M expenses considered by the Authority	27
Table 16: Revised O&M expenses as proposed by the Authority (INR crores)	27
Table 17: Revised Aeronautical revenue as proposed by the Authority (INR crore)	29
Table 18: Tax expense as per Authority (INR crores)	30
Table 19: ARR and YPP as per Authority	32
Table 20: Existing International landing charges at Amritsar Airport	33
Table 21: Existing Domestic landing charges at Amritsar Airport	33
Table 22: Revised Landing charges proposed by AAI with effect from 01/08/2020	33
Table 23: Landing Charges proposed by Authority for Domestic ATM* (INR)	34
Table 24: Landing Charges proposed by Authority for International ATM* (INR)	34
Table 25: Existing Parking, Housing and Night Parking Charges for International ATM	35
Table 26: Existing Parking, Housing and Night Parking Charges for Domestic ATM	
Table 27: Parking and housing charges proposed by AAI w.e.f. 01/08/2020	35
Table 28: Existing UDF, UDF Proposed by AAI and Authority (per embarking passenger)	37
Table 29: Computation of shortfall or surplus from proposed aeronautical charges (INR crores)	38

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Form
AAI	Airports Authority of India
AAICLAS	AAI Cargo Logistics and Allied Services
AERA	Airports Economic Regulatory Authority
ALCMS	Airfield Lighting Control & Monitoring System
ANS	Air Navigation Services
ARR	Annual Revenue Requirement
ATM	Aircraft Traffic Movement
ATRS	Automated Tray Retrieval System
AUCC	Airport Users Consultative Committee
AVDGS	Advanced Visual Docking Guidance System
C&AG	Comptroller and Auditor General of India
CAGR	Compounded Annual Growth Rate
CFT	Crash Fire Tender
CHQ	Central Headquarter
CISF	Central Industrial Security Force
DGCA	Directorate General of Civil Aviation
DLP	Defect Liability Period
E&M	Electrical & Mechanical
EB	Electricity Board
FIDS	Flight Information Display System
FRoR	Fair Rate of Return
GoI	Government of India
GST	Goods and Services Tax
IDC	Interest During Construction
MoCA	Ministry of Civil Aviation
MPPA	Million Passenger per Annum
MYTP	Multi-Year Tariff Proposal
NAR	Non-Aeronautical Revenue
PBB	Passenger Boarding Bridge
PIB	Project Investment Board
PMC	Project Management Consultancy
PPP	Public Private Partnership
PSF	Passenger Service Fee
PTB	Passenger Terminal Building
RAB	Regulatory Asset Base
RHQ	Regional Headquarter
SITC	Supply, Installation, Testing & Commissioning
UDF	User Development Fees
YPP	Yield Per Passenger

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Authority vide Consultation Paper No. 06/2020-21 dated June 1, 2020 proposed aeronautical tariffs in respect of Amritsar Airport for the 1st control period (01/04/2019 to 31/03/2024) and invited comments from Stakeholders for the proposed tariffs.
- 1.2 A Stakeholders' Consultation Meeting was convened by the Authority on June 30, 2020 to invite the views of the Stakeholders on the Consultation Paper and the impact of ongoing COVID19 pandemic.
- 1.3 AAI presented the Multi Year Tariff Proposal submitted to AERA for determination of tariffs for the 1st Control Period covering the features of the existing airport, historical and earlier projections for passenger traffic and ATM movements along with the revised projections of passenger traffic and ATM movements, revised non-aeronautical revenue and revised date of capitalization for proposed capital expenditure along with the revised tariff proposal for the Control Period in the light of COVID19 pandemic.
- 1.4 Some of the Stakeholders raised the issues of impact of revised submission on the aeronautical tariffs and requested the Authority to come up with a fresh consultation paper or issue an addendum to the existing Consultation Paper.
- 1.5 The Authority has carefully read and analyzed these comments. After a thoughtful consideration of these comments followed by discussions with Stakeholders and given the new proposals and revised numbers submitted by AAI, the Authority is of the opinion that impact of revised submission of AAI was material in terms of their impact on aeronautical tariffs.
- 1.6 In view of the same, the Authority decided to issue an Addendum to the Consultation Paper 06/2020-21, for inviting fresh comments from the Stakeholders.
- 1.7 This Addendum has been written with a view to present the Stakeholders with new information received by the Authority since the publication of the Consultation Paper 06/2020-21 as well as to discuss the comments received from the Stakeholders and Authority's position on these comments.
- 1.8 The Chapters below are structured to present the comments from the Stakeholders followed by Authority's position. In case there are no comments pertaining to a Chapter and there are no revised numbers on the concerned building block, the same has not been presented in this Addendum.
- 1.9 For better understanding of a complete background, the Authority requests the Stakeholders to read this Addendum along with the Consultation Paper No. 06/2020-21.
- 1.10 Further, the Authority proposes to revise the date of implementation of the proposed aeronautical tariffs including User Development Fees from April 1, 2021.

2. Methodology for Tariff Determination

The Authority received comments from Indigo Airlines regarding the methodology for aeronautical tariff determination. Comments submitted by Indigo, AAI's responses, Authority's examination of these comments and impact on tariff determination have been discussed in the paragraphs below.

2.1 Comments from Indigo Airlines

Indigo Airlines submitted that AERA has proposed to determine the tariff based on the Hybrid Till Approach. However, Indigo has stated that it has always advocated for the application of the Single Till approach for tariff determination across airports in India. Further, Indigo stated that AERA in its Single Till order has favored the application of Single Till approach in Indian context while evaluating various economic models for tariff determination. As per Clause 4.3 of AERA guidelines, AERA has followed the Single Till approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services.

2.2 AAI's Response to Stakeholders' comments

AAI has requested the Authority to offer its views on the tariff methodology.

2.3 Authority's evaluation of Stakeholders' comments and AAI's response

The Authority, as per the recommendations of the National Civil Aviation Policy 2016 (NCAP 2016) of GoI, has amended tariff guidelines vide its Order No. 14/2016-17 dated January 12, 2017 to determine the future tariffs using Hybrid Till. Therefore, the Hybrid Till approach shall be followed to determine the aeronautical tariffs.

2.4 Authority's final evaluation and revised proposal

As discussed in the above paragraphs of this chapter, the Authority proposes to determine tariffs based on Hybrid Till Approach.

4. Traffic Forecast

The Authority received comments from Indigo Airlines regarding the traffic forecast. The issues raised by Indigo were on the details of traffic forecast including the comparison with the any traffic forecast suggested by industry forums and rationale behind considering different CAGR periods for domestic and international passenger and ATM by the Authority. The issues raised by Indigo, AAI's responses, Authority's examination of these comments and the impact on tariff determination have been discussed in the paragraphs below.

4.1 Comments from Indigo Airlines

a. Revised Traffic Forecast

Indigo Airlines submitted that AAI has not disclosed the details of traffic forecast including comparison with any other forecast with industry forums like IATA etc. in accordance with the Clause 5.6 of AERA (Terms and Conditions for Airport Operators) Guidelines, 2011 (AERA Guidelines). Further, Indigo has requested the Authority to conduct an independent study for the traffic projections, particularly due to the ongoing COVID19 pandemic.

b. Rationale behind adopting different CAGR periods

Indigo requested the Authority to clarify the rationale behind adopting different CAGR periods for domestic and international traffic and ATM projections.

4.2 AAI's Response to Stakeholders' Comments and Revised Submission

AAI has proposed the revised traffic projections as the aviation sector is going through a turbulent phase due to ongoing COVID19 pandemic. The revised traffic growth rates as submitted by AAI for the 1st Control Period are as follows:

Table 1: Revised Traffic forecast as proposed by the AAI

Year		Passenger	•	ATM			
1 Cai	Domestic	International	Combined	Domestic	International	Combined	
			Growth rate	es			
2019-20	-5.04%	2.6%	-2.6%	-0.7%	-13.5%	-4.6%	
2020-21	-39.0%	-63.4%	-47.1%	-32.7%	-54.3%	-38.7%	
2021-22	20.0%	70.0%	31.5%	18.0%	65.0%	27.6%	
2022-23	16.0%	25.0%	18.7%	15.0%	22.0%	16.9%	
2023-24	16.0%	25.0%	18.8%	15.0%	22.0%	16.9%	
			Traffic				
2019-20	1,638,372	819,243	2,457,615	12,389	4,692	17,081	
2020-21	1,000,000	300,000	1,300,000	8,333	2,143	10,476	
2021-22	1,200,000	510,000	1,710,000	9,833	3,536	13,369	
2022-23	1,392,000	637,500	2,029,500	11,308	4,314	15,622	
2023-24	1,614,720	796,875	2,411,595	13,005	5,263	18,268	

Further, in response to Indigo's comments, AAI has requested the Authority to offer its views on the traffic projections.

4.3 Authority's evaluation of Stakeholders' Comments and AAI's response

The Authority has carefully analyzed the submissions from Indigo and AAI, and presented its Addendum to Consultation Paper No. 06/2020-21 Page 8 of 39

views, as below:

a. Revised Traffic Forecast

The Authority has sought the views of various Stakeholders at the time stakeholder's consultation. Based on the suggestions received, the Authority has considered the ICAO study, IATA survey, and sector updates for the traffic projections for the control period. The traffic decline is proposed to be in the range of 40%-50% in the first year and 20%-30% in the second year while regaining the existing levels of FY 2019-20 by the end of FY 2022-23. For FY 2023-24, the hike of 20% has been considered in line with the recovery observed in other countries as new COVID cases approach to zero. Since the traffic projections proposed by AAI in revised submission is in the suggested range, we have proposed to consider the passenger traffic and ATM movement as per AAI's projections for FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22. Further, the passenger traffic and ATM movement have been considered at par with FY 2019-20 for FY 2022-23, and a 20% hike has been proposed on it for FY 2023-24. However, the Authority proposes to consider the passenger traffic as per actuals at the time of true-up.

b. Rationale behind adopting different CAGR periods

The Authority considered the balanced view while proposing the traffic growth rates and proposed the median growth rates among the 3-year CAGR, 5-year CAGR and 10-year CAGR for domestic and international passenger projections over the control period. However, the Authority now proposes to revise the traffic projections considering the impact of COVID19, which will subsequently be trued up as per actuals at the time of true-up.

4.4 Authority's final evaluation and revised proposal

As discussed in the above paragraphs of this chapter, the Authority proposes revised traffic projections as shown in table below:

Table 2: Revised Traffic forecast as proposed by the Authority

Year		Passenger		ATM			
1 cai	Domestic	International	Combined	Domestic	International	Combined	
			Growth rate	es			
2019-20	-5.04%	2.60%	-2.62%	-0.72%	-13.53%	-4.60%	
2020-21	-38.96%	-63.38%	-47.10%	-32.74%	-54.33%	-38.67%	
2021-22	20.00%	70.00%	31.54%	18.00%	65.00%	27.62%	
2022-23	36.53%	60.64%	43.72%	25.99%	32.69%	27.77%	
2023-24	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	
		Tr	affic in num	bers			
2019-20	1,638,372	819,243	2,457,615	12,389	4,692	17,081	
2020-21	1,000,000	300,000	1,300,000	8,333	2,143	10,476	
2021-22	1,200,000	510,000	1,710,000	9,833	3,536	13,369	
2022-23	1,638,372	819,243	2,457,615	12,389	4,692	17,081	
2023-24	1,966,046	983,092	2,949,138	14,867	5,630	20,497	

5. Allocation of Assets between Aeronautical and Non-Aeronautical

The Authority received comments from Indigo and DIAL regarding the allocation of assets between the aeronautical and non-aeronautical services. The issue raised by Indigo was regarding the allocation of assets based on an independent study, while DIAL raised the issue of considering asset allocation based on actual usage. The issue raised by Indigo and DIAL, AAI's responses, Authority's examination of these comments and the impact on tariff determination have been discussed in the paragraphs below.

5.1 Comments from Indigo

Indigo submitted that the AERA's proposal to bifurcate assets between aeronautical assets is based on information received from AAI and no detailed technical evaluation of the same or an independent study has been done by AERA. Further, Indigo highlighted that allocation of the assets between Aeronautical or Non- Aeronautical categories is critical under the Hybrid Till approach, hence the same should be carried out based on Independent Study as per AERA Act.

Indigo also requested AERA to clarify regarding the assumption for the splitting of terminal building cost between civil works and electrical installation, including the basis for splitting such expenditure, as this ratio will have a significant impact on depreciation considering that the depreciation rate for Electrical Installations is higher than that for Buildings.

5.2 Comments from DIAL

DIAL submitted that that the terminal area allocation would be based on specific characteristics of the airport terminal, and, therefore, the terminal area allocation should be based on actual area utilization, rather than on normative percentages.

5.3 AAI's Revised Submission and Response to Stakeholders' comments

In its revised submission, AAI has requested to consider the following allocation ratios:

ParticularsRatio (FY 2017-18)Terminal Building Ratio5.43%Employee Ratio5:109Quarter Ratio2:91

Table 3: Revised Allocation Ratios proposed by AAI

For Terminal Building ratio, AAI has submitted that the proposed allocation ratio of 5.43% is currently not fully utilized and the clientele in Amritsar is primarily due to pilgrimage tourism which does not generally spend at airport and travel with a lot of luggage making it necessary to have more movement space. Therefore, it will not be prudent to consider the terminal building ratio of 10%.

For employee ratio, AAI has submitted that AERA has not considered the portion of Finance and HR allocated to ANS. AAI has requested to include the portion of Finance and HR allocated to ANS as the airport is proposed for privatization in next round of PPP leasing and only aeronautical portion of such common assets shall be paid to AAI while all these assets will be physically handed over to the Concessionaire.

In response to the Stakeholders' comments, AAI has submitted that the allocation of assets between Aeronautical and Non-aeronautical have been done on the actual usage of the facility for

aeronautical and non-aeronautical purposes. Further, AAI has submitted that split ratio of 65% for Civil works and 35% for Electrical Installations has been considered based on a reasonable estimate.

5.4 Authority's evaluation of Stakeholders' comments and AAI's response

The Authority has carefully analyzed the submissions from Indigo, DIAL and AAI, and presented its views, as below.

The allocation ratio must be based on the potential of the non-aeronautical services at the airport. The IATA has recommended the ideal Terminal Building ratio of 8%-12% at any airport. Given the dominance of religious tourism at the airport under consideration, the practical TB ratio must be higher than the ratio as proposed by AAI and efforts must be made by AAI to achieve the suggested Terminal Building ratio. Further, the split ratio of 65% for Civil works and 35% for Electrical Installations has been considered in line with the Authority's proposal for other AAI airports in the past.

For employee ratio, the Authority proposes to consider the ratio as proposed in the Consultation Paper since presently there is no clarity regarding the terms and conditions of privatization or when it will be privatized.

The Authority has considered AAI's submission regarding the Quarter ratio and accordingly, proposes to revise the allocation of common assets between aeronautical and non-aeronautical assets.

5.5 Authority's final evaluation and revised proposal

As discussed in the above paragraphs of this chapter, the Authority proposes revised allocation ratio as shown in table below:

Table 4: Revised Allocation Ratios proposed by the Authority

Particulars	Ratio (FY 2017-18)
Terminal Area Ratio	10%
Employee Ratio	5:107
Quarter ratio	2:91

On the basis of above observations, the Authority proposes the allocation of gross block of assets in accordance with the table below:

Table 5: Allocation of assets proposed by the Authority (Gross Block as on 1st April 2017)

S. No.	Asset category	Total	Pure Aero	Common	Comn	Common Asset		% Aero
5. 110.	Asset category	assets	Assets	Assets	Aero	Non-Aero	assets	70 ACI 0
1.	Land	0.042	0.042	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.042	100.00%
2.	Runways, Aprons and Taxiways	116.48	116.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	116.48	100.00%
3.	Road, Bridges & Culverts	34.60	34.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	34.60	100.00%
4.	Building – Terminal	158.95	100.21	58.74	52.78	5.86	152.99	96.25%
5.	Building – Temporary	0.64	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	100.00%
6.	Building- Residential	4.40	3.08	1.32	1.29	0.03	4.37	99.34%
7.	Security Fencing-Temp	0.43	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.43	100.00%

S. No.	A seet entegers	Asset category Total Pure Aero Common Common Asset assets Assets Assets Aero Non-Aero		non Asset	Aero	% Aero		
5. 110.	Asset Category			Aero	Non-Aero	assets	/0 Ae10	
8.	Boundary Wall – Operational	4.84	4.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	100.00%
9.	Boundary Wall – Residential	0.27	0.00	0.27	0.26	0.01	0.26	97.80%
10.	Building - Others	8.35	8.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.35	100.00%
11.	Computer - End user	1.07	1.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.07	100.00%
12.	Computer Server &	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	100.00%
13.	Intangible Assets –	0.45	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	100.00%
14.	Plant & Machinery	17.88	17.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.88	100.00%
15.	Tools & Equipment	7.01	7.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.01	100.00%
16.	Furniture & Fixtures: Other Than Trolley	5.31	3.93	1.38	1.31	0.06	5.25	98.87%
17.	Furniture & Fixtures:	1.22	1.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.22	100.00%
18.	Vehicles	1.76	1.67	0.09	0.08	0.01	1.76	99.81%
19.	Electrical Installations	74.11	68.31	5.78	5.21	0.58	73.51	99.19%
20.	Other Office Equipment	0.97	0.89	0.07	0.06	0.01	0.96	98.97%
21.	X Ray Baggage System	2.74	2.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.74	100.00%
22.	CFT/Fire Fighting	20.09	20.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.09	100.00%
	Total	461.75	394.11	67.65	60.99	6.56	455.12	98.56%

^{*} Sum of Pure Aero assets and Common assets may differ from the total Assets due to rounding off

Accordingly, the Initial RAB has been revised as presented in the following table:

Table 6: Initial RAB on 1st April 2019 as proposed by Authority (figures in INR crores)

Asset Category	Opening Gross Block on Apr' 17	Acc. Depreciatio n	Opening Net Block on Apr'17	Addition for FY 2017-18	Net Depreciati on FY 2017-18	Addition for FY 2018-19	Net Depreciati on FY 2018-19	Opening Net Block on Apr'19
Land	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Runways, Aprons and Taxiways	116.48	63.66	52.82	4.84	9.06	0.00	9.36	39.24
Road, Bridges & Culverts	34.60	29.16	5.44	0.00	1.28	5.96	1.54	8.58
Building – Terminal	152.99	105.73	47.26	0.00	11.97	2.21	11.20	26.31
Building – Temporary	0.64	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Building- Residential	4.37	2.06	2.31	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.22	1.87
Security Fencing- Temp	0.43	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Boundary Wall – Operational	4.84	3.60	1.24	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.21	0.81
Boundary Wall – Residential	0.26	0.18	0.09	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.06
Building - Others	8.35	3.12	5.23	1.67	0.62	0.63	0.69	6.22
Computer - End user	1.07	1.05	0.02	0.06	0.01	1.13	0.13	1.07
Computer Server	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Addendum to Consultation Paper No. 06/2020-21

Asset Category	Opening Gross Block on Apr' 17	Acc. Depreciatio n	Opening Net Block on Apr'17	Addition for FY 2017-18	Net Depreciati on FY 2017-18	Addition for FY 2018-19	Net Depreciati on FY 2018-19	Opening Net Block on Apr'19
& Network								
Intangible Assets – Software	0.45	0.45	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
Plant & Machinery	17.88	15.90	1.98	5.45	1.11	0.17	0.91	5.58
Tools & Equipment	7.01	4.30	2.71	0.21	0.92	9.11	1.81	9.31
Furniture & Fixtures: Other Than Trolley	5.25	4.28	0.97	0.00	0.26	0.23	0.28	0.66
Furniture & Fixtures: Trolley	1.22	0.76	0.46	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.15	0.15
Vehicles	1.76	1.65	0.11	0.09	0.08	2.05	0.18	1.98
Electrical Installations	73.51	60.62	12.89	15.66	5.64	1.26	3.86	20.30
Other Office Equipment	0.96	0.84	0.12	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.04
X Ray Baggage System	2.74	2.67	0.07	0.28	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.29
CFT/Fire Fighting Equipment	20.09	19.86	0.23	0.55	0.11	5.28	0.48	5.47
Total	455.12	321.12	133.96	28.82	31.77	28.09	31.13	127.98

7. Capital Expenditure for the 1st Control Period

The Authority received comments from Indigo and DIAL regarding the capital expenditure. The issues raised by Indigo and DIAL were regarding the consideration of normative capital cost and the need for the expansion of existing terminal building. The issues raised by Indigo and DIAL, AAI's responses, Authority's examination of these comments and the impact on tariff determination have been discussed in the paragraphs below.

7.1 Comments from Indigo

Indigo requested to review the need for expansion plan of existing terminal building in light of COVID19 and proposed privatization of the airport, which may entail fresh assessment, capex requirements and consequent impact on tariff.

Further, Indigo has highlighted that the Authority has considered the normative cost of INR 100,000 per sq. meters for the terminal building in line with the consideration of normative cost for Lucknow, Guwahati, Chennai, Patna etc., however, the Authority as per Order No. 07/2016-17 dated June 13, 2016 has set the ceiling cost per sq. meter as INR 65,000.00. Indigo Airlines further highlighted that for Vishakhapatnam, the normative cost per sq. meter works out as INR 58,546.60, and since, the regional dynamics for Amritsar airport is not similar to Lucknow, Guwahati, Chennai and Patna, therefore, considering the cost escalations for Varanasi and Amritsar will not be appropriate and the same must be considered within the normative norms prescribed in the normative order.

7.2 Comments from DIAL

DIAL submitted that the Authority in the past has recognized that each airport is different and therefore, has used airport specific approaches for Capital Expenditure, rather than using the normative approach. Accordingly, DIAL has suggested that capital expenditure should be based on actuals. DIAL has also requested to remove the provision of 1% penalty to be levied in case of delay in implementation of the project envisaged as project capitalization period may overlap with the privatization and it would become difficult to determine the delay and the entitled party.

7.3 AAI's Revised Submission and Response to Stakeholders' comments

a) Response to Stakeholders'

In response to Indigo's comments, AAI has submitted that the terminal building is planned to cater passenger growth for next 10-15 years and it takes 30 to 36 months to construct a new terminal building with all the facility. Further, the capacity of existing terminal is 4 MPPA, which is expected to get saturated in next 4-5 years as it has handled 2.45 MPPA in FY 2019-20.

Regarding privatization of the airport, AAI has submitted that the whole process will take time and up to the handing over of airport to the Concessionaire, they have to facilitate the passengers and needs more space for smooth operations at the airport.

In response to DIAL's comments, AAI has supported their views.

b) Revised Submission

In its revised submission, AAI has proposed to revise the proposed date of capitalization of Addendum to Consultation Paper No. 06/2020-21 Page 14 of 39

some of the capital expenditure considering the impact of the ongoing COVID19 pandemic. Further, the AAI has requested to consider the cost of expansion plan of existing terminal building, parallel taxi track and Apron as AAI's submission in original tariff proposal giving the account of the additional cost to be allowed over and above the earlier proposed capital cost.

.

AAI has proposed the revised capital expenditure of INR 537.48 crore for the 1st Control Period. The item wise revised capital expenditure and proposed date of capitalization as submitted by AAI has been shown in table below:

Table 7: Revised Capitalisation as proposed by AAI for 1st Control period (INR crore)

Sr. No	Component	Aero Cost (INR Cr)	Total Cost (INR Cr)	Aero Portion (%)	Proposed Date of Capitalisation
a)	Expansion of Terminal Building [Assumption: (65% civil and 35% electrical)]	229.87	243.07	94.57%	December 2022
b)	Parallel Taxi Track	84.60	84.60	100%	December 2022
c)	Obstructions to the construction of Parallel taxi track- IAF rehabilitation	13.40	13.40	100%	2020-21
d)	Expansion of apron for 10 Nos Code 4C type (excl. GLF work to be taken up with ALCMS work)	52.41	52.41	100%	March 2021
e)	Upgradation of ALCMS & provision of ILCMS for CAT IIIB Lighting	34.13	34.13	100%	March 2022
f)	Interior work of First floor TB	17.56	18.61	94.57%	15.06.2019
g)	SITC of Inline XBIS - 2 Phase	11.39	11.39	100%	May 2021
h)	Body scanners	10.45	10.45	100%	May 2021
i)	TCV	9.20	9.20	100%	June 2021
j)	Replacement of flooring	7.57	8.00	94.57%	March 2022
k)	Runway mechanical sweeper	7.30	7.30	100%	March 2022
1)	CFT and RIVs	5.00	5.00	100%	March 2022
m)	ATRS	4.00	4.00	100%	2021-22
n)	Provision of AVDGS for parking bays (excluding maintenance cost of Rs. 0.57 crs)	3.28	3.28	100%	March 2021
o)	Provision of Tensile Fabric Canopy in front of TB	2.25	2.38	94.57%	2019-20
p)	Replacement of 02 Nos. outlived Baggage Conveyors Belt by new baggage conveyor in Domestic arrival (excluding Rs. 2.17cr, for maintenance cost)	2.75	2.75	100%	March 2021

Sr. No	Component	Aero Cost (INR Cr)	Total Cost (INR Cr)	Aero Portion (%)	Proposed Date of Capitalisation
q)	FIDS	2.00	2.00	100%	2021-22
r)	Improvement of Air conditioning system in TB	1.73	1.83	94.57%	2019-20
s)	SITC of 219 DV XBIS	1.69	1.69	100%	2020-21
t)	SITC OF SCCTV (excluding CAMC)	1.66	1.66	100%	July 2020
u)	Procurement of Electrical Testing equipment: SH supply Testing And Training of Motorized Photometric Measuring System and other special Equipment's for maintenance of airfield Ground Lighting (AGL) system	1.60	1.60	100%	2019-20
v)	Providing Fixing and installation of fall protection and walkway system on roof of TB	1.42	1.50	94.57%	2019-20
w)	Modification to railing at central cut -out and towards air-corridor and mis work at ops and security reason	1.47	1.47	100%	2019-20
x)	Provision of road from apron to fire station	1.44	1.44	100%	September 2020
y)	Replacement of Damaged RIGIDAL aluminum standing skylight with profile sheet on roof of TB	1.22	1.29	94.57%	2019-20
z)	TUV, MM Bolero, Swift Dzire Truck tractor etc	1.28	1.28	100%	
aa)	SITC OF SCCTV with for 1st and Mezzanine floor (Excluding CAMC)	1.26	1.26	100%	2019-20
ab)	Replacement of old outlived DG sets in phase-I Powerhouse	1.20	1.20	100%	July 2020
ac)	SS Dustbin, Sanitary Vending Machine vertical garden PET Bottle Shredder, LED TVs	1.10	1.10	100%	
ad)	Improvement in toilet	0.97	1.03	94.57%	2019-20
ae)	Others	7.16	7.16	100%	2019-20
	Total	522.37	537.48		

7.4 Authority's evaluation of Stakeholders' comments and AAI's response

The Authority has carefully analyzed the submissions from Indigo, DIAL and AAI, and presented its views, as below.

The Authority has noted the concerns of Indigo regarding the need of the expansion of existing terminal building considering the ongoing COVID19 pandemic. The approach followed by AAI for planning of expansion of terminal building or construction of new

terminal building can be accepted in the normal conditions. However, in light of COVID19, the same needs to be revisited considering the need for the capital expenditure while balancing the consumer's and airport operators' interest. As per the AAI's revised submission, the traffic projections are proposed to remain at the significant lower level vis-à-vis the terminal capacity by the end of FY 2023-24 for Amritsar Airport, therefore, the expansion plan of existing terminal building may be deferred to the next control period. Although the Authority proposes to revise the traffic projections, but still it remains at the significantly lower levels than the capacity of the existing terminal building i.e. 4 MPPA.

The Authority also enquired about the tendering status of the proposed expansion plan from AAI, however, as per AAI, it is still under the tendering stage. As per AAI's submission, the construction of new terminal building takes around 30-36 months to complete and therefore, going by the similar timelines for the expansion plan, the Authority believes that AAI will miss their proposed date of completion for expansion plan. Therefore, the Authority vide letter dated October 10, 2020 advised AAI to reconsider the decision for expansion plan of existing terminal building considering the prevailing COVID19 situation which may impact the completion of the project. AAI vide letter dated October 22, 2020 submitted that the airport building is likely to saturate by 2032 considering revised traffic projections and proposed to defer the expansion plan of existing terminal building. Therefore, considering the need of expansion plan and AAI's proposal, the Authority proposes not to consider the expansion plan of existing terminal building in the first control period as the existing terminal building is capable of handling passenger traffic up to 2032 as per AAI's traffic projections.

The Authority has considered AAI's proposal for revision of PDC of other capital expenditure and has considered the proposed capital cost of INR 52.41 crore for Apron.

7.5 Authority's final evaluation and revised proposal

As discussed in the above paragraphs of this chapter, the Authority proposes revised capital expenditure for the 1st control period as shown in table below:

Table 8: Capital Expenditure as proposed by the Authority for 1st Control Period (INR crore)

Sr. No	Component	Aero Cost (INR Cr)	Total Cost (INR Cr)	Aero Portion (%)	Proposed Date of Capitalization
a)	Obstructions to the construction of Parallel taxi track- IAF rehabilitation	13.40	13.40	100%	2022-23
b)	Parallel Taxi Track	78.50	78.50	100%	Dec 2022
c)	Expansion of apron for 10 Nos Code 4C type (excl. GLF work to be taken up with ALCMS work)	52.41	52.41	100%	March 2021
d)	Upgradation of ALCMS & provision of ILCMS for CAT IIIB Lighting	34.13	34.13	100%	March 2022
e)	Interior work of First floor TB	16.75	18.61	90%	15.06.2019
f)	SITC of Inline XBIS - 2 Phase	11.39	11.39	100%	May 2021
g)	Body scanners	10.45	10.45	100%	May 2021

Sr. No	Component	Aero Cost (INR Cr)	Total Cost (INR Cr)	Aero Portion (%)	Proposed Date of Capitalization
h)	TCV	9.20	9.20	100%	June 2021
i)	Replacement of flooring	7.20	8.00	90%	March 2022
j)	Runway mechanical sweeper	7.30	7.30	100%	March 2022
k)	CFT and RIVs	5.00	5.00	100%	March 2022
1)	ATRS	4.00	4.00	100%	2021-22
m)	Provision of AVDGS for parking bays (excluding maintenance cost of Rs. 0.57 crs)	3.28	3.28	100%	March 2021
n)	Provision of Tensile Fabric Canopy in front of TB	2.14	2.38	90%	2019-20
0)	Replacement of 02 Nos. outlived Baggage Conveyors Belt by new baggage conveyor in Domestic arrival (excluding Rs. 2.17cr, for maintenance cost)	2.75	2.75	100%	March 2021
p)	FIDS	2.00	2.00	100%	2021-22
q)	Improvement of Air conditioning system in TB	1.65	1.83	90%	2019-20
r)	SITC of 219 DV XBIS	1.69	1.69	100%	2020-21
s)	SITC OF SCCTV (excluding CAMC)	1.66	1.66	100%	July 2020
t)	Procurement of Electrical Testing equipment: SH supply Testing And Training of Motorized Photometric Measuring System and other special Equipment's for maintenance of airfield Ground Lighting (AGL) system	1.60	1.60	100%	2019-20
u)	Providing Fixing and installation of fall protection and walkway system on roof of TB	1.35	1.50	90%	2019-20
v)	Modification to railing at central cut -out and towards air-corridor and mis work at ops and security reason	1.47	1.47	100%	2019-20
w)	Provision of road from apron to fire station	1.44	1.44	100%	September 2020
x)	Replacement of Damaged RIGIDAL aluminum standing skylight with profile sheet on roof of TB	1.16	1.29	90%	2019-20
y)	TUV, MM Bolero, Swift Dzire Truck tractor etc	1.28	1.28	100%	
z)	SITC OF SCCTV with for 1st and	1.26	1.26	100%	2019-20

Sr. No	Component	Aero Cost (INR Cr)	Total Cost (INR Cr)	Aero Portion (%)	Proposed Date of Capitalization
	Mezzanine floor (Excluding CAMC)				
aa)	Replacement of old outlived DG sets in phase-I Powerhouse	1.20	1.20	100%	July 2020
ab)	SS Dustbin, Sanitary Vending Machine vertical garden PET Bottle Shredder, LED TVs	1.10	1.10	100%	
ac)	Improvement in toilet	0.93	1.03	90%	2019-20
ad)	Others	7.14	7.14	100%	2019-20
	Total	284.83	288.29		

Accordingly, the Authority proposes the revised aeronautical capitalization for the 1st Control Period as per the table below:

Table 9: Revised Aeronautical Capitalization as proposed by the Authority (INR crores)

Asset category	FY ending March 31, 2020	FY ending March 31, 2021	FY ending March 31, 2022	FY ending March 31, 2023	FY ending March 31, 2024	Total
Runways, Aprons and Taxiways	0.00	47.55	0.00	91.90	0.00	139.45
Road, Bridges & Culverts	0.53	1.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.96
Building – Terminal	18.50	0.00	7.20	0.00	0.00	25.70
Other Building – Unclassified	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47
Plant & Machinery	2.34	5.44	12.45	0.00	0.00	20.22
Tools & Equipment	1.46	0.31	20.50	0.00	0.00	22.27
Office Furniture	0.25	0.15	0.10	0.15	0.25	0.90
Vehicles	0.63	0.15	0.00	0.40	0.10	1.28
Electrical Installations	7.41	8.81	34.13	0.00	0.00	50.35
Furniture & Fixtures-Other than Trolley	1.62	0.15	0.35	0.20	0.20	2.52
furniture & Fixtures- Trolley	0.30	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.30	0.75
X Ray Baggage System	0.00	2.55	11.39	0.00	0.00	13.94
CFT/Fire Fighting Equipment	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	5.00
Total	33.51	66.54	91.27	92.65	0.85	284.82

8. Depreciation

The Authority received comments from Indigo regarding the useful life of terminal building and residential buildings. The issues raised by Indigo, AAI's responses, Authority's examination of these comments and the impact on tariff determination have been discussed in the paragraphs below.

8.1 Comments from Indigo

Indigo has submitted that review of useful life of assets at various international airports like London Heathrow, Sydney airport and Amsterdam airport indicates that terminal buildings have useful life of as long as 60 years and aprons have it for as long as 99 years. Similarly, the useful life of terminal building for Kannur and Cochin airports has been considered as 60 years by the Authority and accordingly, the useful life for terminal building must be considered as 60 years. Indigo also submitted that the option for choosing 30 years or 60 years as useful life for residential buildings should not rest with the airport operator. Indigo submitted that the Authority should consider the useful life of Residential buildings and terminal building as 60 years as envisaged in Order No. 35/2017-18 read with Schedule II of Companies Act 2013 and revise the depreciation accordingly.

8.2 AAI's Revised Submission and Response to Stakeholders' comments

AAI has submitted that they have adopted the Useful Life Policy issued by AERA while preparing proposal for all AAI major airports. In its revised submission, AAI has requested to consider the depreciation rate of 18% for "Other Office Equipment's" for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19 as against the depreciation rate of 20% considered by the Authority.

8.3 Authority's evaluation of Stakeholders' comments and AAI's response

The Authority has carefully analyzed the submissions from Indigo and AAI, and presented its views, as below.

AAI has considered the useful life in accordance with the Useful Life Policy issued by AERA. Since, the policy allows the airport operators to choose the useful life of terminal buildings, therefore we are bound to accept their proposal. Further, the Authority has already considered the depreciation rate of 18% for "Other Office Equipment's" for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19 in the Consultation Paper.

8.4 Authority's final evaluation and revised proposal

As discussed in the above paragraphs of this chapter, the Authority proposes to consider the depreciation rates as per the Order No. 35/2017-18. The Authority proposes the following revised depreciation during the 1st Control Period.

Table 10: Revised Depreciation proposed by the Authority (figures in INR crores)

Particulars	FY ending March 31, 2020	FY ending March 31, 2021	FY ending March 31, 2022	FY ending March 31, 2023	FY ending March 31, 2024	Total
Depreciation	15.46	17.63	22.51	26.53	27.28	109.41

9. Regulatory Asset Base (RAB) for the 1st Control Period

9.1 Authority's final evaluation and revised proposal

Combining all its propositions, revised RAB to be considered by the Authority for determination of aeronautical tariff for the 1st Control Period in respect of Amritsar Airport is as follows:

Table 11: Revised RAB for the 1st Control Period after Authority's examination (figures in INR crores)

S.No.	Particulars	FY ending March 31, 2020	FY ending March 31, 2021	FY ending March 31, 2022	FY ending March 31, 2023	FY ending March 31, 2024
1.	Opening RAB	127.98	146.03	194.94	263.69	329.81
2.	Capitalizations	33.51	66.54	91.27	92.65	0.85
3.	Disposals	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4.	Depreciation	15.46	17.63	22.51	26.53	27.28
5.	Closing RAB	146.03	194.94	263.69	329.81	303.38
6.	Average RAB	137.00	170.48	229.31	296.75	316.60

11. Non-aeronautical revenue

The Authority received comments from Indigo and DIAL regarding the non-aeronautical revenue. The issue raised by Indigo was regarding the allocation of assets based on an independent study; while DIAL raised the issue of considering asset allocation based on actual usage. The issues raised by Indigo and DIAL, AAI's responses, Authority's examination of these comments and the impact on tariff determination have been discussed in the paragraphs below.

11.1 Comments from Indigo Airlines

Indigo has submitted that the increase in non-aeronautical revenue is the function of passenger traffic growth, inflationary increase and real increase in contract rates. However, the Authority has followed the conservative approach. Further, Indigo has submitted/requested the following:

- Propose increase in non-aeronautical revenue is not commensurate with the expansion of existing terminal building
- Reason behind decline in car parking revenue
- Whether annual escalations as agreed under related contracts have been considered or not for the Land lease/commercial contracts/building?

Indigo has also requested AAI to clarify whether airlines are eligible to claim waiver of charges as suggested for commercial contracts and to specify such contracts.

11.2 Comments from DIAL

DIAL has submitted that given the present situation of COVID19, there is a high degree of uncertainty on the traffic figures and a dip in Non-Aero revenues to the tune of 50% has been estimated by various air traffic agencies. DIAL has requested the Authority to rationalize the non-aeronautical revenues in-line with the Authority's viewpoint for rationalization of passenger traffic.

11.3 AAI's Revised Submission and Response to Stakeholders' comments

AAI has submitted that the increase in non-aeronautical revenue is not a direct function of passenger traffic growth, inflationary increase and real increase in contract rates and depends upon the passenger profile at the airport as well. An additional 10% y-o-y increase has been considered for non-aeronautical revenue due to the expansion of existing terminal building.

In its revised submission, AAI has proposed to extend the waiver to commercial contracts by giving a reduction of 50% in FY 2020-21, 30% in FY 2021-22 and Nil in FY 2022-23.

AAI has clarified that they have changed their car parking policy resulting in the lower revenue for car parking; and annual escalation has been considered as per the agreement/rates issued by AAI for Land lease/Commercial contract etc. AAI has also clarified that the waiver in respect of commercial contracts (excluding space rentals contracts) shall be applicable to all concessionaires.

AAI has proposed the revised non-aeronautical revenue as below.

Table 12: Revised Non-aeronautical revenue projections as per AAI (figures in INR crores)

	FY ending					
Particulars	March 31,	Total				
	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	
Trading concessions						
Restaurant / snack bars	8.82	4.41	6.18	8.82	10.59	38.82
T.R. stall	9.29	4.65	6.50	9.29	11.15	40.88
Hoarding & display	4.94	2.47	3.46	4.94	5.92	21.72
Rent and services						
Land leases	1.00	0.50	0.70	1.00	1.00	4.21
Building (residential)	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.22
Building (non-residential)	4.89	2.45	3.42	4.89	5.87	21.52
Miscellaneous						
Duty Free	9.04	4.52	6.33	9.04	10.85	39.77
Car rentals	0.97	0.48	0.68	0.97	1.16	4.26
Car parking	4.08	2.04	2.86	4.08	4.89	17.95
Admission tickets	2.31	1.16	1.62	2.31	2.77	10.17
Other income/ sale of scrap	2.89	3.04	3.19	3.35	3.52	16.00
Total	48.28	25.75	34.97	48.74	57.78	215.52

11.4 Authority's evaluation of Stakeholders' comments and AAI's response

The Authority has carefully analyzed the submissions from Indigo, DIAL and AAI, and presented its views, as below.

The Authority has assessed the projections made by AAI. Considering the ongoing COVID19 pandemic and GoI imposed restrictions on aircraft movements, it is difficult to gauge the actual impact on non-aeronautical revenue. The Authority proposes to consider the non-aeronautical revenue as per AAI's proposal and the same shall be trued-up as per actuals at the time of true-up. However, the additional 10% hike proposed by AAI in FY 2023-24 has not been considered in line with proposed deferral of the expansion plan of existing terminal building to the next control period.

11.5 Authority's final evaluation and revised proposal

As discussed in the above paragraphs of this chapter, the Authority proposes to consider the non-aeronautical revenue as per AAI's revised submission. The table below provides the non-aeronautical revenue as considered by the Authority for the 1st control period:

Table 13: Non-aeronautical revenues proposed by the Authority (INR crores)

Particulars	FY ending March 31, 2020	FY ending March 31, 2021		FY ending March 31, 2023	FY ending March 31, 2024	Total
Trading concessions						
Restaurant / snack bars	8.82	4.41	6.18	8.82	9.71	37.94
T.R. stall	9.29	4.65	6.50	9.29	10.22	39.95
Hoarding & display	4.94	2.47	3.46	4.94	5.43	21.23
Rent and services						
Land leases	1.00	0.50	0.70	1.00	1.00	4.21

Particulars	FY ending March 31, 2020	FY ending March 31, 2021	FY ending March 31, 2022	J	FY ending March 31, 2024	Total
Building (residential)	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.22
Building (non-residential)	4.89	2.45	3.42	4.89	5.38	21.03
Miscellaneous						
Duty Free	9.04	4.52	6.33	9.04	9.94	38.87
Car rentals	0.97	0.48	0.68	0.97	1.06	4.16
Car parking	4.08	2.04	2.86	4.08	4.49	17.54
Admission tickets	2.31	1.16	1.62	2.31	2.54	9.93
Other income/ sale of	2.89	3.04	3.19	3.35	3.52	16.00
Total	48.28	25.75	34.97	48.74	53.34	211.08

12. Operation and Maintenance expenditure (O&M Expenses)

The Authority received comments from Indigo Airlines regarding the standardization of operations & maintenance expenditure. The issues raised by Indigo, AAI's responses to these, Authority's examination of these comments and the impact on tariff determination have been discussed in the paragraphs below.

12.1 Comments from Indigo

Indigo has requested the Authority to determine the baseline operational expenditure and suggest efficiency improvement based on trends in operating costs, productivity improvements, cost drivers and other factors as considered appropriate as per clause 5.4.2 of AERA guidelines. Further, Indigo has requested to conduct an Independent Study to assess the "efficient operating expenditure" and has further suggested to the Authority to consider the normative approach of 80% in line with CP no. 5/2014-15 for operating expenditure till then.

12.2 AAI's Revised Submission and Response to Stakeholders' comments

AAI has submitted that the operational expenditure has been projected based on past trends and considering the inflationary impact and increase in the minimum wages of maintenance workers as decided by the state Govt. The same has been considered by AERA in previous tariff order for AAI major airports.

In its revised submission, AAI has proposed an additional 10% R&M expenses for sanitization and other expenses due to ongoing COVID19 pandemic. For the month of April 2020 and May 2020, electricity charges have been considered as 35% of the existing expenditure. AAI has also clarified that the electricity charges were proposed after the adjustment of recoveries against the electricity charges from various concessionaires and accordingly, AAI has requested to consider the electricity charges as proposed in revised submissions.

Further, AAI has clarified that the consumption of stores & spares which includes consumption of paper glass for the passengers are 100% aeronautical expenditure; and consumption of stores & spares and POL have been considered on actual basis.

For various expenses deducted by the Authority as per trial balance, AAI has clarified that these expenses have been booked wrongly under ANS and AAICLAS and pertains to the airport operations only. AAI has further requested the Authority to consider the Terminal Building ratio and employee ratio as per the revised submissions.

AAI has submitted that the CHQ/RHQ expenses and retirement benefits were projected on provisional basis for FY 2018-19 and the same has been proposed based on actuals in revised submissions.

The revised O&M expenditure proposed by AAI is shown in table below:

Table 14: Revised O&M expenses as proposed by AAI (INR crores)

	FY ending					
Particulars	March 31,	Total				
	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	

Particulars		FY ending March 31, 2021	_	FY ending March 31, 2023	FY ending March 31, 2024	Total
Payroll expenses - non CHQ/RHQ	15.73	16.83	18.01	19.27	22.55	92.39
Payroll expenses - CHQ/RHQ	5.64	6.03	6.46	6.91	8.08	33.12
Administration and General expenses - non CHQ/RHQ	8.33	9.16	10.08	11.09	14.29	52.95
Administration and General expenses - CHQ/RHQ	63.31	66.48	69.80	73.29	76.96	349.84
Repairs and maintenance	12.30	14.12	41.91	18.89	21.72	108.94
Utilities and outsourcing expenses	7.51	6.85	7.66	7.75	10.56	40.34
Other outflows - Collection Charges on UDF	0.50	0.26	0.35	0.41	0.49	2.01

12.3 Authority's evaluation of Stakeholders' comments and AAI's response

The Authority has carefully analyzed the submissions from Indigo and AAI, and presented its views, as below.

The Authority has considered AAI's submission regarding the additional expenses for sanitization, proposed electricity charges and consideration of wrongly booked entries while proposing the O&M expenses for the control period. However, the allocation ratios have been considered as proposed in Chapter 5.

The Authority observed that AAI has proposed a rampant hike in the CHQ/RHQ allocation of Administrative & General expenses and Employee expenses vis-à-vis AAI's submission in the original tariff proposal. Further, AAI has not clarified or explained the reason behind such increase in these expenses. Therefore, the Authority proposes to consider these expenses as per original tariff proposal and the same shall be revisited at the time of true-up.

AAI has proposed to consider the "Conversion of 869M of rigid pavement of the runway to flexible" as part R&M expenses only, therefore the Authority has considered the same as part of R&M expenses.

The Authority believes that since the traffic projections for the control period have been rationalized due to the ongoing COVID19 pandemic and expected to reach the existing levels of FY 2019-20 by the end of FY 2022-23, therefore, AAI should not increase the number of employee deputed to the airport. Hence, the y-o-y increase in the employee expenses has been proposed as 5%.

12.4 Authority's final evaluation and revised proposal

As discussed in the above paragraphs of this chapter, the Authority proposes the following growth rates in operation and maintenance expenses.

Table 15: Growth rates in O&M expenses considered by the Authority

Particulars		FY ending March 31, 2021	U	FY ending March 31, 2023	FY ending March 31, 2024
Payroll expenses - non CHQ/RHQ	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Payroll expenses - CHQ/RHQ	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Administration and General expenses - non CHQ/RHQ	27.12%	10.00%	10.00%	10.01%	10.00%
Administration and General expenses - CHQ/RHQ	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Repairs and maintenance	11.50%	14.80%	196.88%	-54.93%	15.00%
Utilities and outsourcing expenses	0.87%	-8.87%	11.90%	1.12%	1.22%
Other outflows - Collection Charges on UDF	-2.62%	-47.10%	31.54%	43.72%	20.00%
Overall Growth Rate	7.75%	5.38%	39.99%	-17.07%	7.36%

The revised O&M expenses proposed by Authority are given in the table below.

Table 16: Revised O&M expenses as proposed by the Authority (INR crores)

Particulars		FY ending March 31, 2021		U	FY ending March 31, 2024	Total
Payroll expenses - non CHQ/RHQ	15.88	16.67	17.51	18.38	19.30	87.75
Payroll expenses - CHQ/RHQ	2.04	2.14	2.25	2.36	2.48	11.27
Administration and General expenses - non CHQ/RHQ	7.92	8.71	9.58	10.54	11.60	48.36
Administration and General expenses - CHQ/RHQ	29.16	30.62	32.15	33.76	35.44	161.12
Repairs and maintenance	12.13	13.93	41.36	18.64	21.44	107.50
Utilities and outsourcing expenses	7.51	6.85	7.66	7.75	7.84	37.62
Other outflows - Collection Charges on UDF	0.50	0.26	0.35	0.50	0.60	2.21
Total	75.15	79.19	110.85	91.93	98.70	455.82

13. Aeronautical Revenue

The Authority received comments from Indigo for reduction of the proposed aeronautical tariffs. The comments submitted by Indigo, AAI's responses, Authority's examination of these comments and the impact on tariff determination have been discussed in the paragraphs below.

13.1 Comments from Indigo

Indigo has appreciated the Authority's proposal to implement the hike in aeronautical tariffs from April 1, 2021, however, Indigo has requested to defer the tariff hikes to the next control period stating that airlines has suffered an adverse financial impact due to GoI restrictions on scheduled domestic and international flights between March and May 2020. Further, the domestic operations were resumed on May 25, 2020 with restrictions on fare and capacity and therefore, airlines may continue to face financial distress until the complete opening of scheduled domestic and international flights. Indigo has further highlighted that the proposed increase in aeronautical tariffs along with yo-y escalation will adversely impact the operational expenditure and it may not be commensurate with the increase in passenger traffic.

13.2 AAI's Revised Submission and Response to Stakeholders' comments

AAI has submitted that it doesn't agree with Indigo's views as airport operators have been hit badly in current situation due to the higher investment. AAI has also submitted that the airport operators may have to incur losses even after the proposed hike and thus, has requested to consider the tariff hikes as per the revised submission.

In its revised submission, AAI has proposed to increase the aeronautical tariffs as applicable from August 1, 2020 as per below schedule:

- Landing Charges- For Domestic ATM, one-time hike of 46.7% and 4% year on year thereafter. For International ATM, one-time hike of 23% and 4% year on year thereafter;
- Parking and housing charges For Domestic ATM, one-time hike of 129% and 4% year on year thereafter. For International ATM, one-time hike of 98% and 4% year on year thereafter:
- User Development Fee AAI has proposed the UDF charges of INR 700 for domestic passenger and INR 1300 crore for international passenger.

13.3 Authority's evaluation of Stakeholders' comments and AAI's response

The Authority has carefully analyzed the submissions from Indigo and AAI, and presented its views, as below.

The Authority understand the concerns of the airlines and airport operators, however, deferring the tariff hikes for the control period may result in the unwarranted burden on the consumers in second control period and financial hardship to the airport operator. Therefore, the Authority has proposed the aeronautical tariffs while balancing the interests of the passengers, airlines and airport operators in order to promote the growth in passenger traffic.

13.4 Authority's final evaluation and revised proposal

As discussed in the above paragraphs of this chapter, the Authority proposes to revise the

aeronautical charges with effect from April 1, 2021.

The Authority proposes to allow a one-time increase of 45% in Domestic and International landing charges for FY 2021-22 and thereafter 10% increase in FY 2022-23 and 6% increase in FY 2023-24.

The Authority proposes to increase Domestic and International parking and housing charges by 45% for FY 2021-22 and thereafter 10% increase in FY 2022-23 and 6% increase in FY 2023-24.

The Authority proposes to increase the User Development fees by 120.26% and 14.04% respectively for Domestic and International passengers for FY 2021-22 and thereafter proposes to keep them at the same levels.

The Authority has determined the aeronautical revenue with the proposed aeronautical revenue as follows:

Table 17: Revised Aeronautical revenue as proposed by the Authority (INR crore)

S. No.	Particulars	FY ending March 31, 2020	J	FY ending March 31, 2022	O	FY ending March 31, 2024	Total
1.	Landing charges	23.24	13.08	26.06	33.97	42.69	139.05
2.	Parking & Housing charges	0.31	0.08	0.34	0.45	0.56	1.74
3.	UDF/PSF	70.06	28.45	63.15	76.24	92.16	330.06
4.	Fuel Throughput Charges	4.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.51
5.	Land Lease	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08	5.39
6.	Ground Handling Charges	0.98	0.60	0.77	0.98	1.17	4.50
7.	Cute Charges	2.16	1.14	1.50	1.78	2.12	8.70
8.	Revenue share from AAICLS	0.63	0.61	0.79	0.89	0.98	3.91
9.	Total Revenue	102.97	45.04	93.69	115.38	140.77	497.85

14. Taxation

The Authority received comments from Indigo and DIAL regarding the proposed income tax. The issues raised by Indigo, AAI's responses to these, Authority's examination of these comments and the impact on tariff determination have been discussed in the paragraphs below.

14.1 Comments from Indigo Airlines

Indigo has submitted that business losses can be carried forward for 8 years and can be set off with profits in future years. The actual tax paid by the Company in control period shall be lower due to the set-off of carry forward losses prior to the present control period. Therefore, Indigo has requested that losses for periods prior to present control period (if any) that are allowed to carry forward as per Income Tax Act, 1961 should be considered while computing taxation in the first control period rather than leaving it for true up in the second control period and true up must be done on basis of actual tax payment.

14.2 Comments from DIAL

DIAL has agreed on the AERA's approach for adopting methodology for assessment of aeronaurical tax on a standalone aeronautical P&L drawn based on various building blocks used for tariff determination.

14.3 AAI's Revised Submission and Response to Stakeholders' comments

AAI has submitted that the MYTP in the instant case is submitted for the first control period and the loss in a year during the control period has been set off against the profits (if any) of the subsequent years in the control period.

14.4 Authority's evaluation of Stakeholders' comments and AAI's response

The Authority has carefully analyzed the submissions from Indigo, DIAL and AAI, and presented its views, as below.

Since the First Control Period has been considered from FY2019-20, therefore the loss of previous periods cannot be utilized to set-off the gains in the first control period as the true up of loss/gain for the period prior to the control period has not been considered for the determination of annual revenue requirement. Accordingly, the Authority proposes nil taxation for the years where the cash flow is negative.

14.5 Authority's final evaluation and revised proposal

As discussed in the above paragraphs of this chapter, the Authority proposes to consider the taxation for the first control period as under:

Particulars	FY ending				
	March 31,				
	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Aero revenue with proposed rates	102.97	45.04	93.69	115.38	140.77

Table 18: Tax expense as per Authority (INR crores)

Particulars	FY ending March 31, 2020	FY ending March 31, 2021	FY ending March 31, 2022	FY ending March 31, 2023	FY ending March 31, 2024
O&M expenses	75.15	79.19	110.85	91.93	98.70
Depreciation	15.46	17.63	22.51	26.53	27.28
Profit before tax	12.36	-51.78	-39.67	-3.09	14.79
Set-off of loss	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-14.79
Profit before tax after set- off of loss	12.36	-51.78	-39.67	-3.09	0.00
Tax rate (%)	25.17%	25.17%	25.17%	25.17%	25.17%
Taxes	3.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

15. Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for the 1st Control Period

15.1 Authority's final evaluation and revised proposal

Based on the revised propositions on various building blocks of ARR as discussed in previous sections, the Authority proposes the revised ARR and Yield per passenger as below:

Table 19: ARR and YPP as per Authority

	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY
Particulars	ending	ending	ending	ending	ending
T di ticulai 5	March	March	March	March	March
	31, 2020	31, 2021	31, 2022	31, 2023	31, 2024
Average RAB (INR crores) (Refer Table 11)	137.00	170.48	229.31	296.75	316.60
Fair Rate of Return (in %)	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%
Return on average RAB (INR crores)	19.18	23.87	32.10	41.55	44.32
O&M expenses (INR crores)	75.15	79.19	110.85	91.93	98.70
Depreciation (INR crores)	15.46	17.63	22.51	26.53	27.28
Tax expense (INR crores)	3.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Less: 30% NAR (INR crores)	14.48	7.73	10.49	14.62	16.00
ARR per year (INR crores)	98.41	112.96	154.98	145.39	154.30
Add: True up Shortfall/(Surplus)	0.00				
PV of ARR based @14% (INR crores)	98.41	99.09	119.25	98.13	91.36
Sum Present value of ARR (INR crores)	506.24				
Total traffic for the control period (million passengers)	5.44				
Yield Per Passenger (YPP) (INR)	931.07				

16. Annual Tariff Proposal

- 16.1 AAI has proposed the implementation date of tariffs from 01/08/2020. The Authority has proposed to revise the aeronautical charges with effect from April 1, 2021.
- 16.2 The tariff card proposed by the Authority for the First Control Period has been reproduced here. For purposes of comparison, the existing aeronautical charges, aeronautical charges proposed by AAI and the aeronautical charges now proposed by Authority have been provided in the following tables.

I) LANDING CHARGES

Table 20: Existing International landing charges at Amritsar Airport

Weight of the Aircraft	International rate per landing (INR)
Up to 10 MT	141 Per 1MT
Above 10 MT up to 20 MT	1410+ 207.10 per 1MT in excess of 10 MT
Above 20 MT up to 50 MT	3,481 + 409.10 per 1MT in excess of 20 MT
Above 50 MT to 100 MT	15,754 + 477.80 per 1MT in excess of 50 MT
Above 100 MT	39,644+ 545.10 per 1MT in excess of 100 MT

Table 21: Existing Domestic landing charges at Amritsar Airport

Weight of the Aircraft	Domestic rate per landing (INR)
Up to 10 MT	67.10 Per 1MT
Above 10 MT up to 20 MT	671 + 117.70 per 1MT in excess of 10 MT
Above 20 MT	1,848 + 231 per 1MT in excess of 20 MT

Table 22: Revised Landing charges proposed by AAI with effect from 01/08/2020

Weight of the Aircraft	International rate per landing (INR)	Domestic rate per landing (INR)
Up to 25 MT	270 Per 1MT	180 Per 1MT
Above 25 MT up to 50 MT	6,750+ 506 per 1MT in excess of 25 MT	4,500+ 315 per 1MT in excess of 25 MT
Above 50 MT up to 100	19,400+ 586 per 1MT in excess of 50 MT	12,375 + 360 per 1MT in excess of 50 MT
Above 100 MT to 200 MT	48,700+ 675 per 1MT in excess of 100 MT	30,375+ 439 per 1MT in excess of 100 MT
Above 200 MT	116,200+ 810 per 1MT in excess of 200 MT	74,275+ 495 per 1MT in excess of 200 MT

Landing charges are proposed to be increased by 4% on a compounded basis.

Table 23: Landing Charges proposed by Authority for Domestic ATM* (INR)

Weight of the Aircraft	01.04.2021 to 31.03.2022	01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023	01.04.2023 to 31.03.2024
Up to 25 MT	208 per 1MT	229 per 1MT	243 per 1MT
Above 25 MT up to 50 MT	5200 + 302 per 1MT in excess of 25 MT	5720 + 332 per 1MT in excess of 25 MT	6063 + 352 per 1MT in excess of 25 MT
Above 50 MT up to 100	12750 + 335 per 1MT in excess of 50 MT	14025 + 369 per 1MT in excess of 50 MT	14867 + 391 per 1MT in excess of 50 MT
Above 100 MT to 200 MT	29500 + 370 per 1MT in excess of 100 MT	32450 + 407 per 1MT in excess of 100 MT	34397 + 431 per 1MT in excess of 100 MT
Above 200 MT	66500 + 390 per 1MT in excess of 200 MT	73150 + 429 per 1MT in excess of 200 MT	77539 + 455 per 1MT in excess of 200 MT

^{*} The existing Landing charges are proposed to be increased by 45% in the FY 2021-22, by 10% in the FY 2022-23 and by 6% for FY 2023-24. Existing Landing charges as per Table 21 shall be applicable up to March 31, 2021

Table 24: Landing Charges proposed by Authority for International ATM* (INR)

Weight of the Aircraft	01.04.2021 to 31.03.2022	01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023	01.04.2023 to 31.03.2024
Up to 25 MT	350 per 1MT	385 per 1MT	408 per 1MT
Above 25 MT up to 50 MT	8750 + 585 per 1MT in excess of 25 MT	9625 + 644 per 1MT in excess of 25 MT	10203 + 682 per 1MT in excess of 25 MT
Above 50 MT up to 100	23375 + 680 per 1MT in excess of 50 MT	25713 + 748 per 1MT in excess of 50 MT	27255 + 793 per 1MT in excess of 50 MT
Above 100 MT to 200 MT	57375 + 765 per 1MT in excess of 100 MT	63113 + 842 per 1MT in excess of 100 MT	66899 + 892 per 1MT in excess of 100 MT
Above 200 MT	133875 + 850 per 1MT in excess of 200 MT	147263 + 935 per 1MT in excess of 200 MT	156098 + 991 per 1MT in excess of 200 MT

^{*} The existing Landing charges are proposed to be increased by 45% in the FY 2021-22, by 10% in the FY 2022-23 and by 6% for FY 2023-24. Existing Landing charges as per Table 20 shall be applicable up to March 31, 2021

- No landing charges shall be payable in respect of a) aircraft with a maximum certified capacity of less than 80 seats, being operated by Domestic schedule operators at airport, b) helicopters of all types, and c) DGCA approved Flying school/flying training institute aircrafts.
- All Domestic legs of International routes flown by Indian operators will be treated as Domestic flights as far as landing charges is concerned, irrespective of flight number assigned to such flights.
- 16.5 Charges shall be calculated on the basis of nearest MT (i.e. 1000 kg).

16.6 Flight operating under Regional Connectivity Scheme will be completely exempted from Landing charges from the date of the scheme is operationalization by GOI.

II) PARKING AND HOUSING CHARGES

Table 25: Existing Parking, Housing and Night Parking Charges for International ATM

Weight of the Aircraft	Parking Charges Rates per Hour (INR) (up to two hours after first two free hours)	Parking Charges Rates per Hour (INR) (beyond first four hours)
Up to 40 MT	2.10 Per Hour Per 1MT	4.10 Per Hour Per MT
Above 40 MT up to 100 MT	84+3.90 per Hour per MT in excess of 40 MT	164+7.90 per MT per Hour in excess of 40 MT
Above 100 MT	318+6.00 per1 MT per Hours in excess of 100 MT	638+11.90 per MT per Hour in excess of 100 MT

Table 26: Existing Parking, Housing and Night Parking Charges for Domestic ATM

Weight of the Aircraft	Parking Charges Rates per Hour (INR) (up to two hours after first two free hours)	Parking Charges Rates per Hour (INR) (beyond first four hours)
Up to 40 MT	1.80 Per Hour Per 1MT	3.50 Per Hour Per MT
Above 40 MT up to 100 MT	72+3.40 per Hour per MT in excess of 40 MT	140+6.80 per MT per Hour in excess of 40 MT
Above 100 MT	276+5.20 per1 MT per Hours in excess of 100 MT	548+10.30 per MT per Hour in excess of 100 MT

Table 27: Parking and housing charges proposed by AAI w.e.f. 01/08/2020

Weight of the Aircraft	Parking Charges Rates per Hour (INR) (up to two hours after first two free hours)	Parking Charges Rates per Hour (INR) (beyond first four hours)
Up to 25 MT	3.51 Per Hour Per 1MT	7.02 Per Hour Per MT
Above 25 MT up to 50 MT	87.74+4.68 per Hour per MT in excess of 25 MT	175.48+9.36 per MT per Hour in excess of 25 MT
Above 50 MT up to 100	204.73+9.36 per 1MT per Hour in excess of 50 MT	409.45+18.72 per MT per Hour in excess of 50 MT
Above 100 MT to 200 MT	672.67+11.70 per 1MT per Hours in excess of 100 MT	1345.34+23.40 per MT per Hours in excess of 100 MT

Weight of the Aircraft	Parking Charges Rates per Hour (INR) (up to two hours after first two free hours)	Parking Charges Rates per Hour (INR) (beyond first four hours)
Above 200 MT	1842.53+12.87 per1 MT per Hours in excess of 200 MT	3685.05+ 25.74 per MT per Hours in excess of 200 MT

Parking and housing charges are proposed to be increased by 4% on a compounded basis every year.

Parking Charges proposed by the Authority: The Authority proposes to allow a one-time increase of 45% in Domestic and International Parking & Housing charges for FY 2021-22 and thereafter a 10% increase in FY 2022-23 and a 6% increase in FY 2023-24. Existing Parking and Housing charges as per *Table 25* and *Table 26* shall be applicable up to March 31, 2021.

- 16.7 No parking charges shall be levied for the first two hours. While calculating free parking period, standard time of 15 minutes shall be added on account of time taken between touch down time and actual parking time on the parking stand. Another standard time of 15 minutes shall be added on account of taxing time of aircraft from parking stand to take off point. These periods shall be applicable for each aircraft irrespective of actual time taken in the movement of aircraft after landing and before take-off.
- 16.8 For calculating chargeable parking time, part of an hour shall be rounded off to the nearest hour.
- 16.9 Charges shall be calculated on the basis of nearest MT.
- 16.10 Charges for each period parking shall be rounded off to nearest rupee.
- 16.11 At the in-contact stands and open stands, after free parking, for the next two hours normal parking charges shall be levied. After this period, the charges shall be double the normal parking charges.
- 16.12 It is proposed to waive off the night parking charges in principle for all Domestic scheduled operators at Amritsar Airport if the State Government reduces the rate of tax (VAT) on ATF less than 5%. The above waiver of night parking charges (between 2200 hrs. to 0600 hrs) will be made applicable from the date of implementation of less than 5% tax on ATF by the State Govt. In the event of upward revision in the tax rate of ATF by the State Govt., the relief of free night parking charges will also be deemed to be withdrawn.
- 16.13 Flight operating under Regional Connectivity Scheme (RCS) shall be completely governed by AIC Sl. No. 19/2017 dated August 30, 2017 issued by DGCA.
- 16.14 For unauthorized overstay of aircraft on ground, an additional charge of Rs. 20.00 per hour per MT beyond 24 hours will be payable.

III) FUEL THROUGHPUT CHARGES

The Ministry of Civil Aviation has discontinued the levy of Fuel Throughput Charges (FTC) from all airports w.ef. 15th January 2020 vide MoCA letter no. F.No. AV-13030/216/2016-ER (Pt.2) dated 8th January 2020. Accordingly, the Authority has not proposed any Fuel Throughput Charges.

IV) USER DEVELOPMENT FEES (UDF)

Table 28: Existing UDF, UDF Proposed by AAI and Authority (per embarking passenger)

Passenger	Existing UDF*	UDF proposed by AAI	UDF proposed by Authority
Domestic	INR 227/-	INR 700/-	INR 500/-
International	INR 1140/-	INR 1300/-	INR 1300/-

*PSF (F) is proposed to be subsumed in the UDF.

Notes:-

- a) Collection charges: if the payment is made in accordance within period prescribed under credit policy of AAI, then collection charges at INR Rs. 5.00 per departing passenger shall be paid by AAI. No collection charges shall be payable in case the airline fails to pay the UDF invoice to AAI within the prescribed credit period or in case of part payment. To be eligible to claim this collection charges, the airlines should have no overdue on any account with AAI.
- b) No collection charges are payable to casual operator/non-scheduled operators.
- c) For conversion of UDF in foreign currency, the RBI reference conversion rate as on the last day of the previous month for tickets issued in the 1st fortnight and rate as on 15th of the month for tickets issued in the 2nd fortnight shall be adopted.
- d) Revised UDF charges will be applicable on tickets issued on or after 01/04/2021.
- e) No UDF/PSF (Facilitation) will be levied for Transit Passengers.

<u>V) Aviation Security Fee</u>: Applicable as prescribed by MoCA vide Order No. AV.13024/659/2015-AS dated May 7, 2019

VI) Exemption from levy and collection from UDF/ASF at the Airports

The Ministry of Civil Aviation, Govt. of India vide order no. AV.16011/002/2008-AAI dated 30.11.2011 has directed AAI to exempt the following categories of persons from levy and collection of UDF/ASF:

- a. Children (under age of 2 years),
- b. Holders of Diplomatic Passport,
- c. Airlines crew on duty including sky marshals & airline crew on board for the particular flight only (this would not include Dead Head Crew, or ground personnel),
- d. Persons travelling on official duty on aircraft operated by Indian Armed Forces,
- e. Persons traveling on official duty for United Nations Peace Keeping Missions.
- f. Transit/transfer passengers (this exemption may be granted to all the passengers transiting up to 24 hrs. "A passenger is treated in transit only if onward travel journey is within 24 hrs. from arrival into airport and is part of the same ticket, in case 2 separate tickets are issued it would not be treated as transit passenger").

g. Passengers departing from the Indian airports due to involuntary re-routing i.e. technical problems or weather conditions.

VII) GENERAL CONDITION:

- a) All the above Charges are excluding GST. GST at the applicable rates are payable in addition to above charges.
- b) Flight operating under Regional Connectivity Scheme (RCS) will be completely exempted from charges as per Order No.20/2016-17 dated 31/03/2017 of the Authority from the date the scheme is operationalized by GOI.

Aeronautical revenue under the proposed tariff card:

16.15 The Authority ensured that the proposed tariff card leads to projected revenues in line with the ARR. This has been further detailed in the table below.

Table 29: Computation of shortfall or surplus from proposed aeronautical charges (INR crores)

Particulars	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	
	ending	ending	ending	ending	ending	
	March	March	March	March	March	
	31, 2020	31, 2021	31, 2022	31, 2023	31, 2024	
Total PV of ARR including true up	506.24			•		
Landing charges:						
Domestic	11.40	7.67	13.12	16.60	20.23	
International	11.84	5.41	12.94	17.37	22.46	
Parking and housing charges:						
Domestic	0.13	0.04	0.15	0.19	0.23	
International	0.18	0.04	0.19	0.26	0.34	
Fuel Throughput charges	4.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Ground handling charges	0.98	0.60	0.77	0.98	1.17	
Land lease - Oil companies & Ground Handling	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08	
CUTE charges	2.16	1.14	1.50	1.78	2.12	
Cargo Revenue	0.63	0.61	0.79	0.89	0.98	
Total - before UDF	32.91	16.59	30.54	39.14	48.61	
PV factor	1.0000	0.8772	0.7695	0.6750	0.5921	
PV of above	32.91	14.55	23.50	26.42	28.78	
∑ PV of above	126.16					
Shortfall before UDF	380.08					
User Development Fees:						
Domestic	19.70	11.35	30.00	34.80	40.37	
International	50.36	17.10	33.15	41.44	51.80	
PV of UDF	70.06	24.96	48.59	51.46	54.57	
∑ PV of UDF	249.64					
Surplus/ (Shortfall)	(130.45)					

As can be observed from the table-29 above, as per Authority's proposals, AAI is entitled to recover an ARR of INR 506.24 crores from the tariff card proposed. Out of the Authority's

proposed tariff card, the present value of total projected aeronautical revenues based on the Authority's proposed aeronautical charges and UDF charge is INR 126.16 crore and INR 249.64 crore respectively, thus resulting in a net shortfall of INR 130.45 crores that shall be carried forward to the next Control Period.

Secretary,

Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India, **AERA Building, Administrative Complex,** Safdarjung Airport, New Delhi -110003.

Tel: 011-24695044-47, Fax: 011-24695048

Email: secretary@aera.gov.in

director-ps@aera.gov.in

Tel: 011-24695040, Fax: 011-24695039

(Chairperson)